Two New Aporphine Alkaloids from Litsea glutinosa

by Jing-Hua Yang $^a)^b)$, Liang Li $^a)$, Yun-Song Wang $^b)$, Jing-Feng Zhao $^a)$, Hong-Bin Zhang $^a)$, and Shi-De Luo* $^b)$

- a) School of Pharmacy, Center for Advanced Studies of Medicinal and Organic Chemistry, Yunnan University, Kunming, Yunnan 650091, P. R. China
- b) State Key Laboratory of Phytochemistry and Plant Resources in West China, Kunming Institute of Botany, Graduate School of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Kunming, Yunnan 650204, P. R. China

(phone: +86-871-5031119; fax: +86-871-5035538, e-mail: shideluo@hotmail.com; liliang5758@hotmail.com)

Chemical examination of the BuOH extract of the leaves and twigs of *Litsea glutinosa* collected from Xishuangbanna resulted in the isolation of two new aporphine alkaloids, namely litseglutine A (1) and B (2), along with two known aporphine alkaloids, boldine (3) and laurolitsine (4). The structures of the new alkaloids have been elucidated on the basis of spectra analysis as 6-demethyl-9-methoxy-1,2-(methylenedioxy)aporphin-10-ol (=6,7,7a,8-tetrahydro-10-methoxy-5*H*-benzo[*g*]-1,3-benzodioxolo[6,5,4-*de*]quinolin-11-ol; 1) and 1,10,11-trimethoxyaporphin-2-ol (=5,6,6a,7-tetrahydro-1,10,11-trimethoxy-6-methyl-4*H*-dibenzo[*de,g*]quinolin-2-ol; 2).

- **1. Introduction.** The genus *Litsea* is a member of the Lauraceae and comprises nearly 200 species, which are distributed widely throughout tropical and subtropical Asia, North America, and subtropical South America. *Litsea glutinosa* is an evergreen medium-sized tree. Its barks and leaves are used as a demulcent and mild astringent for diarrhoea and dysentery, the roots are used for poulticing sprains and bruises, and the oil extracted from the seeds is used in the treatment of rheumatism [1]. Recently, research disclosed that the MeOH extract of *Litsea glutinosa* bark effectively inhibited both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria. The results justify the reported uses in diarrhoea and dysentery [2]. A previous paper reported the presence of some alkaloids from the barks of *Litsea glutinosa* [3]. In our further biological evaluation, the BuOH extract of the leaves and twigs of *Litsea glutinosa* were shown to exhibit significant cytotoxic activity against human Hela cell lines *in vitro*. We report herein on the isolation and characterization of two new aporphine alkaloids, litseglutine A (1) and B (2).
- **2. Results and Discussion.** Compound **1** was obtained as optically active brown solid. Its molecular formula $C_{18}H_{17}NO_4$ based on HR-ESI-MS (m/z 312.1233 ([M+1] $^+$) indicated that **1** possessed eleven degrees of unsaturation. Compound **1** was positive to Dragendorffs test and FeCl₃ solution. The UV absorption maxima at 218, 282, and 306 nm were characteristic of a 1,2,9,10-tetrasubstituted aporphine [4]. Based on further spectroscopic data (see Table and Fig. 2), the structure given in Fig. 1 was assigned to **1** which was named litseglutine A.

Fig. 1. Structures of compounds 1-4

Fig. 2. Selective HMBC and NOESY correlations of 1 and 2

The IR spectrum (KBr) of 1 showed characteristic absorption bands at 3430, 1136 (Ar-OH), 1609, 1515, 1462, 1410 (skeleton of aporphine alkaloids) cm $^{-1}$. The EI-MS fragments at m/z 311 (M^+) , 310 $([M-1]^+)$, 295, 282, 281, 279, 267, 251, and 237 (see Exper. Part) are consistent with those of aporphine alkaloids [5]. The 13Cand DEPT-NMR spectra (Table) revealed the presence of 18 C-signals which were attributed to 1 OCH2O (t at δ (C) 102.1), 1 MeO (q at δ (C) 56.6), 3 CH₂ (t at δ (C) 43.8, 36.1, and 28.9), and 4 CH groups (d at δ (C) 116.1, 112.3, 107.8, and 49.5), and 9 quaternary C-atoms (s at δ (C) 148.7, 147.9, 147.5, 143.7, 129.1, 127.3, 126.4, 123.7, and 117.8). In the ¹H-NMR spectrum (Table), one s due to a MeO group at δ (H) 3.74 was evident. A low-field oneproton s at $\delta(H)$ 7.54 ($\delta(C)$ 112.3 (d)) exhibited the characteristics of the deshielded $H-C(11)^1$) of the aporphine system. The other two aromatic protons at $\delta(H)$ 6.59 and 6.42 (2s), suggested that no adjacent aromatic protons were present in rings A or D. In the HMBC experiment (Fig. 2), $\delta(H)$ 6.59 correlated with δ (C) 123.7(C(11a)), 147.5 (C(10)), 147.9 (C(9)), and 36.1 (C(7)); and δ (H) 6.42 with δ (C) 126.4 (C(11c)), 143.7 (C(1)), 148.7 (C(2)), 28.9 (C(4)), and 117.8 (C(11b)), allowing the assignment of the two aromatic protons at δ (H) 6.59 and 6.42 to H–C(8) and H–C(3), respectively (Fig. 2)\(^1). The signals at δ (H) 5.95 and 5.81 (2 br. s, each 1 H; $\delta(C)$ 102.1 (t)) indicated the presence of a OCH₂O group. The location of this OCH₂O group at C(1) and C(2) was established by the HMBC correlations $\delta(H)$ 5.95, 5.81/ $\delta(C)$ 143.7 (C(1)), and 148.7 (C(2)). Therefore, the phenolic OH and MeO group must be located at C(9) and C(10). The HMBC experiment (Fig. 2) confirmed the position of MeO at C(9) by the correlations $\delta(H)$ 2.52 $(H_{\beta}-C(7))/\delta(C)$ 49.5 (C(6a)), 123.7 (C(11a)), 126.4 (C(11c)), 116.1 (C(8)), 129.1 (C(7a)), and 147.9 (C(9)), and δ (H) 3.74 (MeO – C(9))/ δ (C) 147.9 (C(9)).

¹⁾ For convenience, the atom numbering of 1 and 2 are the same; for systematic names, see Exper. Part.

Table. The ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR Data (CD₃OD) for Compounds 1 and 2¹). δ in ppm, J in Hz.

	1		2	
	$\delta(H)$	δ(C)	$\delta(H)$	δ(C)
C(1)	_	143.7 (s)	_	146.2 (s)
C(2)	_	148.7(s)	-	150.8 (s)
H-C(3)	6.42 (s)	107.8(d)	6.69 (s, 1 H)	115.9 (d)
$CH_2(4)$	2.91, 2.58 (m, each 1 H)	28.9(t)	2.82, 2.76 (<i>m</i> , each 1 H)	28.2 (t)
$CH_2(5)$	3.26, 2.91 (<i>m</i> , each 1 H)	43.8 (t)	3.21, 2.80 (<i>m</i> , each 1 H)	53.9 (t)
MeN	_	_	2.70(s)	43.2(q)
CH ₂ (7)	2.70 $(dd, J = 14.1, 4.9, H_{\alpha}),$ 2.52 $(dd, J = 14.1, 4.9, H_{\beta})$	36.1 (<i>t</i>)	3.18, 2.45 (dd, J = 13.8, 4.3, each 1 H)	35.6 (t)
H-C(8)	6.59 (s)	116.1 (d)	7.05 (d, J = 8.2)	123.5 (d)
H-C(9)	_	147.9(s)	6.95 (d, J = 8.2)	113.4 (d)
C(10)	_	147.5(s)	_	153.6 (s)
H-C(11)	7.54(s)	$112.3 \ (d)$	-	148.6 (s)
C(3a)	_	127.3 (s)	_	128.1 (s)
H-C(6a)	3.80 (dd, J = 9.7, 4.6)	49.5 (d)	3.31(dd, J=9.5, 4.3)	64.6 (d)
C(7a)	_	129.1 (s)	=	130.5 (s)
C(11a)	_	123.7 (s)	_	126.3 (s)
C(11b)	_	117.8 (s)	_	125.6 (s)
C(11c)	_	126.4(s)	_	127.0(s)
MeO-C(1)	_	-	3.44 (s)	60.9(q)
MeO-C(9)	3.74 (s)	56.6 (q)	_ ` ` `	-
MeO-C(10)	_	-	3.88(s)	56.7 (q)
MeO-C(11)	_	_	3.61(s)	61.1 (q)
OCH ₂ O	5.95, 5.81 (br. s, each 1 H)	102.1 (t)	_	-

¹⁾ For convenience, the atom numbering of 1 and 2 are the same; for systematic names, see Exper. Part.

To compound **2**, the molecular formula $C_{20}H_{23}NO_4$ was attributed from its HR-ESI-MS (m/z 342.1707 ([M+1]⁺)). Compound **2** was positive to *Dragendorff's* test. The UV absorptions at 278 and 306 nm revealed that **2** was a tetrasubstituted aporphine. The MS fragmentation pattern of **2** was identical with that of aporphine alkaloids [5]. The IR and NMR data (*Table*, *Fig.* 2) established the structure of **2** (*Fig.* 1) which was named litseglutine B. Litseglutin B(**2**) is substituted at C(11), which is rare among aporphine alkaloids.

The IR spectrum of **2** showed absorption bands at 3400, 1410, 1462, 1515, and 1609 cm⁻¹, indicating the presence of a phenolic OH group and the skeleton of an aporphine alkaloid. The ¹H-NMR spectrum exhibited the presence of three aromatic MeO groups at $\delta(H)$ 3.88, 3.61, and 3.44, and 1 MeN group at $\delta(H)$ 2.70. Two d of aromatic protons at $\delta(H)$ 7.05 (J = 8.2 Hz, 1 H), and 6.95 (J = 8.2 Hz, 1 H) suggested that these protons were adjacent. The HMBC experiment (Fig. 2) established their positions at C(8) and C(9) by the correlations H–C(8) ($\delta(H)$ 7.05)/C(7) ($\delta(C)$ 35.6), C(10) ($\delta(C)$ 153.6), C(11) ($\delta(C)$ 148.6), and C(11a) ($\delta(C)$ 126.3), and H–C(9) ($\delta(H)$ 6.95)/C(8) ($\delta(C)$ 123.5), C(7a) ($\delta(C)$ 130.5), C(10) ($\delta(C)$ 153.6), and C(11) ($\delta(C)$ 148.6). Thus, C(10) and C(11) were substituted. The NOESY plot confirmed these assignments by the H–C(8)/H–C(9) correlation. The aromatic MeO groups at $\delta(H)$ 3.88 and 3.61 showed HMBC correlations with C(10) ($\delta(C)$ 153.6) and C(11) ($\delta(C)$ 148.6) respectively, which supported the positions of the two MeO groups at C(10) and C(11). Moreover, the s of an aromatic proton at δ 6.69 was assigned to H–C(3), similarly to compound **1**. Thus, the third MeO group must be located at C(1)or C(2). In the HMBC experiment, $\delta(H)$ 3.44 (MeO) correlated with $\delta(C)$ 146.2 (C(1)), indicating the location of this MeO group at C(1).

The identification of compounds 3 and 4, the major constituents from *Litsea glutinosa*, were established as boldine (3) and laurolitsine (4) by direct comparisons (co-TLC, UV, IR, MS, and NMR) with the authentic samples [6]. Compound 3 was reported to possess antiplatelet aggregation and vasorelaxing activity [6]. Compound 4 showed blood-pressure-lowering activity [6].

Experimental Part

General. TLC: commercial silica gel plates (Qing Dao Marine Chemical Group Co.). CC = Column chromatography. [a]_D: Horiba SEAP-300 spectropolarimeter. UV-Spectra: Shimadzu UV-2401PC spectrophotometer; λ_{\max} in nm. IR Spectra: Bio-Rad FTS-135-IR spectrophotometer; ν_{\max} in cm⁻¹. ¹H-, ¹³C-NMR, and 2D-NMR Spectra: Bruker AV-500 spectrometer; chemical shifts δ in ppm relative to SiMe₄ as internal standard, and coupling constant J in Hz. EI-MS: VG-Autospec-3000 mass spectrometers.

Plant Material. The leaves and twigs of Litsea glutinosa were collected in October 2002 in Xishuangbanna Country of Yunnan Province, P. R. China, and identified by Professor Zhi-Hao Hu of the department of botany, Yunnan University. A voucher specimen (No. 200305) is deposited in the School of Pharmacy, Yunnan University.

Extraction and Isolation. The powdered plant material of Litsea glutinosa (12.0 kg) was repeatedly extracted with EtOH at r.t. The extract was then evaporated and the obtained brown syrup partitioned in H_2O and separated by extraction with solvents into a petroleum ether fraction (80 g), AcOEt fraction (54 g), and BuOH fraction (108 g). The BuOH extract was subjected to CC (silica gel, CHCl₃/MeOH (99:1 to 1:1), then MeOH): Fractions I-X. Fr. I and II were resubmitted to CC (silica gel, then Sephadex LH-20): 1 (5 mg), 2 (10 mg), 3 (106 mg), and 4 (50 mg).

Litseglutine A (=6-Demethyl-9-methoxy-1,2-(methylenedioxy)aporphin-10-ol¹),(=6,77a,8-Tetrahydro-10-methoxy-5H-benzo[g]-1,3-benzodioxolo[6,5,4-de]quinolin-11-ol; 1): Brown solid. [a] $_{2}^{2}$ 4 = 36.56 (c = 0.13, MeOH). UV(MeOH): 218, 282, 306. IR (KBr): 3430, 1609, 1515, 1462, 1410, 1276. 1 H- and 13 C-NMR: Table. EI-MS: 311 (40, M^{+}), 310 (100, [M – 1] $^{+}$), 295 (5, [M – OH] $^{+}$), 282 (15, [M – 29 (CH=NR)] $^{+}$), 279 (25), 267 (9, [M – CO $_{2}$] $^{+}$), 251 (10, [M – CO $_{2}$ – OH] $^{+}$), 237 (3, [M – CO $_{2}$ – MeO] $^{+}$). HR-ESI-MS: 312.1233 ([M + 1] $^{+}$, C₁₈H₁₇NO $_{4}^{+}$; calc. 312.1235).

Litseglutine B = 1,10,11-Trimethoxyaporphin-2-ol = 5,6,6a,7-Tetrahydro-1,10,11-trimethoxy-6-methyl-4H-dibenzo[de,g]quinolin-2-ol; **2**): Light brown solid. [a] $_{0}^{2}$ = 30.5 (c = 0.13, MeOH). UV(MeOH): 278, 306. IR (KBr): 3400, 1410, 1462, 1515, 1609. 1 H- and 13 C-NMR: Table. EI-MS: 341 (30, M^{+}), 340 (15, $[M-1]^{+}$), 326 (35, $[M-15]^{+}$), 310 (40, $[M-31]^{+}$), 253, 149, 123, 109 (100), 97, 81, 69. HR-ESI-MS: 342.1707 ($[M+1]^{+}$, C_{20} H₂₃NO $_{4}^{+}$; calc.342.1705).

Cytotoxicity Assay. The cytotoxic activitity against human Hela cell lines were assayed using the standard MTT method in vitro.

We thank Mr. Yu Chen for collecting the plant material and Mr. Shao-You Li for the cytotoxicity assay.

REFERENCES

- [1] 'Delectis Florae Reipublicae Popularis Sinicae Academiae Sinicae Edita. Flora Reipublicae Popularis Sinicae, Tomus 32', Science Press, Beijing, China, 1982, p. 261 384 (in Chinese).
- [2] S. C. Mandal, C. K. A. Kumar, A. Majumder, R. Majumder, B. C. Maity, Fitoterapia 2000, 71, 439.
- [3] H. H. Xie, F. X. Zhang, X. Y. Wei, M. F. Liu, J. Trop. Subtrop. Bot. 1999, 7, 87.
- [4] C. R. Xu, P. Xie, Y. Zhu, N. J. Sun, X. T. Liang, Chin. Tradit. Herbal Drugs 1983, 14, 148.
- [5] P. Z. Cong, 'The Application of Mass Spectrometry on Natural Organic Chemistry', Science Press, Beijing, 1987, p. 279 – 285.
- [6] X. H. Yan, X. Y. Wei, H. H. Xie, M. F. Liu, F. X. Zhang, J. Trop. Subtrop. Bot. 2000, 8, 324.

Received April 4, 2005